



**Tampa Hillsborough Homeless Initiative (THHI) Continuum of Care Lead Agency
2022 Universal Request For Proposals (RFP)
FY 2022 HUD-CoC Program Competition – Addendum #1**

**FL-501: Renewal Project Performance Scorecard Scoring Criteria
Friday, August 19, 2022**

For the FY 2022 CoC Program Competition, 67% percent of the Renewal Application scoring is based on the CoC’s System Performance Measurements based on data from **October 1, 2020, to September 30, 2021**. As all projects in the CoC contribute data to the System Performance Measurements via their HMIS data entry for their individual projects, using the same date range aligns the evaluation of a project’s performance to the data submitted to HUD that HUD will be using to score the overall CoC Application. The overall score of the CoC Application is directly related to how much funding HUD awards to a CoC, including funding of Tier 2 and new projects.

Renewal Project Performance ScoreCard Overview

The Renewal Project Performance ScoreCard is divided into seven (7) sections with a maximum number of points of 134, based on the following sections and maximum points per section as listed below:

- A. Project Performance – Maximum Points = 70
- B. Coordinated Entry Participation – Maximum Points = 6
- C. Racial Equity, Inclusion of Persons with Lived Experience, and *Improving Assistance to LGBTQ+ Individuals* – Maximum Points = 12
- D. Project Populations– Maximum Points =10
- E. Data Quality – Maximum Points = 21
- F. Overall Grant Management– Maximum Points = 14
- G. CoC Participation – Maximum Points = 1

What You Need to Complete the Renewal Project Performance ScoreCard

New this year, the Ranking and Review Committee Members will complete a Project Performance ScoreCard for each CoC Renewal Project. However, you will need to submit the following documents, as stated in Scoring Criteria document, for the Ranking and Review Committee members to use to complete your project’s ScoreCard:

- 1) The Canned CoC APR printed (PDF) from UNITY with the date range of **10/1/2020 to 9/30/2021** (all references to the CoC APR refer to the Canned CoC APR).

Note: For projects (More HEART and HOME3-PHAME) that directly include Chronic

Housing Choice Vouchers provided through Tampa Housing Authority: a) you will need to run your APR using a reporting group when running the APR to complete the ScoreCard; b) the CE Audit Report will combine the direct project and the THA Voucher project and c) occupancy for these projects will only be based on the non-THA voucher beds as included on the HIC chart

- 2) Housing First/Low Barrier Questionnaire (attachment to 2022 Universal RFP CoC Addendum) - Completed and Signed.
- 3) Persons with Lived Experience Narrative – not to exceed 1 page (approximately 500 words, single spaced) – Describe how your organization incorporates the input and experience of persons with Lived Experience in your organization structure, decision making and quality improvement efforts, which may include, but not limited to, activities such as:
 - Representation on the organizations Board of Director’s or other decision-making board
 - Client / Consumer Advisory Board
 - Emphasis on hiring persons with Lived Experience
 - Use of Peer Mentors that provide feed back
 - Satisfaction surveys / comment cards
- 4) Improving Assistance to LGBTQ+ Individuals Narrative – not to exceed 1 page (approximately 500 words, single spaced) – Describe how your agency/project helps address the needs of LGBTQ+, transgender, gender non-conforming, and non-binary individuals and families including privacy, respect, safety, and access regardless of gender identity or sexual orientation in projects.
- 5) A printout from the project’s eLOCCS account of the General, Budget and Vouchers tab for the most recently ended grant term. *(See Instructions for Finding Project’s eLOCCS Information Guide). Most recently ended grant term is defined as the grant term in which APR and final eLOCCS draw timeframe has passed.*
- 6) Copies of the match documentation submitted to HUD for your most recently ended grant term.
- 7) Gender, Race, and Ethnicity Analysis – in Google Sheet format.
- 8) “U.S. Census Bureau_Race_Ethnicity_Hillsborough County, Florida” Document

The Ranking and Review Committee will utilize additional documents, provided by THHI, and posted to THHI’S website on the FY 2022 CoC Program Competition webpage.

- 1) FL-501 Tampa/Hillsborough County 2021 Housing Inventory Chart (HIC) related to CoC Renewal Projects only
- 2) FY 2022 HUD CoC Competition – CoC Leadership Role List – Showing agencies with staff in a Leadership Role, as defined by being a Chair, Co-Chair and/or Secretary of a CoC Committee and/or a member of THHI’s Board of Directors between August 1, 2021, and July 31, 2022.
- 3) FY 2022 HUD CoC Competition – SAGE APR Submission Report – This report will be pulled from SAGE data, by THHI staff and will include the project’s most recently ended grant term that had an APR due before August 1, 2022.
- 4) CE Audit Report - This report will allow you to see all entries that between 10/01/2020 and 9/30/2021, as well as the VI-SPDAT Score associated with these entries. This report with the above date range only pulls new/returning entries in the time frame of the report range. It will also provide a count of how many clients had a VI-SPDAT Score at Entry and an average VI-SPDAT score for all clients served. This report will also weigh the scores to take into account the different VI-SPDAT scores which client’s may have been accessed with at entry.

Victim Service Providers Specific Instructions for Renewal Projects

Renewal project(s) submitted by a victim service provider are able to provide most data and information required for all other provider agencies renewal projects including:

- An APR generated from the agency’s HMIS-comparable database for the time frame indicated for all other renewal projects and utilize the APR data from that report to complete the Project Performance ScoreCard.
- Housing First/Low Barrier Questionnaire (attachment to 2022 Universal RFP CoC Addendum) - Completed and Signed.
- Persons with Lived Experience Narrative – not to exceed 1 page (approximately 500 words, single spaced) – Describe how your organization incorporates the input and experience of persons with Lived Experience in your organization structure, decision making and quality improvement efforts, which may include, but not limited to, activities such as:
 - Representation on the organizations Board of Director’s or other decision-making board
 - Client / Consumer Advisory Board
 - Emphasis on hiring persons with Lived Experience
 - Use of Peer Mentors that provide feed back
 - Satisfaction surveys / comment cards
- Improving Assistance to LGBTQ+ Individuals Narrative – not to exceed 1 page (approximately 500 words, single spaced) – Describe how your agency/project helps address the needs of LGBTQ+, transgender, gender non-conforming, and non-binary individuals and families including privacy, respect, safety, and access regardless of gender

identity or sexual orientation in projects.

- A printout from the project's eLOCCS account of the General, Budget and Vouchers tab for the most recently ended grant term. Copies of the match documentation submitted to HUD for your most recently ended grant term.
- Copies of the match documentation submitted to HUD for your most recently ended grant term.
- Gender, Race, and Ethnicity Analysis – in Google Sheet format.
-
- Victim Service Providers are not able to be scored related to direct Coordinated Entry participation as the current CoC process excludes the victim service provider from directly completing our HMIS based Coordinated Entry process and relies on partnerships with other agencies for connecting clients to the CoC's Coordinated Entry processes. Therefore, the 6 points available for renewal projects directly related to coordinated entry participation would become points related to the degree the victim service provider improves the safety for the population they serve.

The victim service provider will provide a narrative with supporting aggregate data from their HMIS Comparable database that demonstrates the degree to which the victim service provider has improved the safety of the population they serve for the same time period as all other project performance measurements (10/1/2020-9/30/2021). The Scoring reviewers may award up to 6 points.

Renewal Project Performance ScoreCard Detail and Scoring Ranges

The scoring range for each measurement is indicated in these instructions (below) and will be used by the Ranking and Review Committee Members completing the Project Performance ScoreCard for each renewal project (as applicable).

In the sections below, the following is listed for each of the items contained on the Renewal Project Performance Scorecard:

- A. The Performance Measurement
- B. Rationale For Measurement
- C. Data Source and How Calculated for each measurement
- D. The Performance Point Scale for Scoring

Renewal Project Performance ScoreCard Detail and Scoring (points) Ranges

A. Project Performance			
Performance Measurement	Rationale For Measurement	Data Source and How Calculated	Performance Point Scale
Length of Time to Housing: Average number of days between Project Enrollment Date and Housing Move-in Date	This is a standard HUD Measurement for Project Performance and System Performance	<u>Source:</u> CoC APR – 22c. <u>Calc:</u> Enter “Average length of time to housing” from the “Total” column from Table 22c	< 30 days = 3 pts 31-60 days = 2 pt 61-90 days = 1 pt 91+ days = 0 pts
Permanent Housing Placements: % of persons who exited to a positive housing destination.	This is a standard HUD Measurement for Project Performance and System Performance	<u>Source:</u> CoC APR - 5a/23c: <u>Calc:</u> Add “Total persons exiting to positive housing destinations” and subtract “Total persons whose destinations excluded them from the calculation,” in 23c and divide it by Line 5 From 5a	90% + = 10 pts 80% - 89% = 8 pts 75% - 79% = 5 pts < 75% = 0 pts
Income Total: % of persons age 18 and older who <i>increased</i> their <i>total income</i> (from all sources) as of the latest update for stayers.	This is a standard HUD Measurement for Project Performance and System Performance	<u>Source:</u> CoC APR - 19a1: <u>Calc:</u> See percentage in Row “Number of Adults with Any Income (i.e., Total Income)” and Column “Performance Measure: Percent of Persons who Accomplished this Measure”	50% + = 3 pts 40% - 49% = 2 pts 30% - 39% = 1 pts < 30% = 0 pts
Income Total: % of persons age 18 and older who <i>increased</i> their <i>total income</i> (from all sources) as of the exit date for leavers.	This is a standard HUD Measurement for Project Performance and System Performance	<u>Source:</u> CoC APR - 19a2: <u>Calc:</u> See percentage in Row “Number of Adults with Any Income (i.e., Total Income)” and Column “Performance Measure: Percent of Persons who Accomplished this Measure”	50% + = 3 pts 40% - 49% = 2 pts 30% - 39% = 1 pts < 30% = 0 pts
Income - Earned: % of persons age 18 through 61 who <i>increased</i> their <i>earned income</i> as of the latest update for stayers.	This is a standard HUD Measurement for Project Performance and System Performance	<u>Source:</u> CoC APR - 19a1: <u>Calc:</u> See percentage in Row “Number of Adults with Earned Income (i.e., Employment Income)” and Column “Performance Measure: Percent of Persons who Accomplished this Measure”	50% + = 3 pts 40% - 49% = 2 pts 30% - 39% = 1 pts < 30% = 0 pts

A. Project Performance - Continued

Performance Measurement	Rationale For Measurement	Data Source and How Calculated	Performance Point Scale
<p>Income - Earned: % of persons age 18 through 61 who <i>increased</i> their <i>earned income</i> as of the exit date for leavers.</p>	<p>This is a standard HUD Measurement for Project Performance and System Performance</p>	<p><u>Source:</u> CoC APR - 19a2: <u>Calc:</u> See percentage in Row "Number of Adults with Earned Income (i.e., Employment Income)" and Column "Performance Measure: Percent of Persons who Accomplished this Measure"</p>	<p align="center">50% + = 3 pts 40% - 49% = 2 pts 30% - 39% = 1 pts < 30% = 0 pts</p>
<p>Living Situation at Project Entry: % of Participants admitted directly from the street or other locations not meant for human habitation, Safe Haven Emergency Shelters.</p>	<p>HUD emphasizes the Housing First philosophy and require both PSH and RRH to assist persons directly from the street, emergency shelter or Safe Haven as a best practice reducing a person's length of time homeless</p>	<p><u>Source:</u> CoC APR – 15/5a: <u>Calc:</u> From the "Homeless Situations" Column, add numbers for "Emergency shelter", "Place not meant for human habitation" and "Safe Haven." Divide "Number of Adults (age 18 or over)" from Line 2, listed in 5a.</p>	<p align="center"><i>IF PSH Project</i> 50% + = 5 pts 40% -49% = 3 pts 30% - 39% = 2 pts < 29% = 0 pts</p> <p align="center"><i>IF RRH Project</i> 75% + = 5 pts 65% -74% = 3 pts 50% - 64% = 2 pts < 49% = 0 pts</p>
<p>Exits to Homelessness: Less than 5% of program exits will be to another homeless destination</p>	<p>Homeless Assistance Project's goal is to end a participant's homelessness, so few participants should exit these programs to another homeless situation; align with HUD's system performance measurement related to returns to homelessness</p>	<p><u>Source:</u> CoC APR - 23c, 5a: <u>Calc:</u> Add the numbers from "Emergency shelter, including hotel or motel paid for with emergency shelter voucher", "Transitional housing for homeless persons (including homeless youth)", "Place not meant for human habitation", and "Safe Haven" from Sections 23c. Divide by "Number of Leavers" from Line 5 of Section 5a.</p>	<p align="center">5% or less = 5 pts 6% - 10% = 3 pts 11% - 24% = 2 pts > 25% = 0 pts</p>
<p>Non-cash Benefits - Annual</p>	<p>It is expected that project help clients obtain and maintain benefits as a way of maintaining positive housing outcomes.</p>	<p><u>Source:</u> Canned CoC APR – 20b, 5a: <u>Calc:</u> See Number in Row "1 + Sources and Column "Benefit at Latest Annual Assessment for Stayers" from 20b. Divide by Line 16 from Section 5a.</p>	<p align="center">85% + = 3 pts 50% – 84% = 1 pt <50% = 0 pts</p>
<p>Non-cash Benefits - Exit</p>	<p>It is expected that project help clients obtain/maintain benefits as a way of maintaining positive housing outcomes.</p>	<p><u>Source:</u> Canned CoC APR – 20b, 5a: <u>Calc:</u> See Number in Row "1 + Sources and Column "Benefit at Exit for Leavers." Divide by Line 7 from Section 5a.</p>	<p align="center">85% + = 3 pts 50% – 84% = 1 pt <50% = 0 pts</p>

A. Project Performance - Continued			
Data Source and How Calculated	Data Source and How Calculated	Data Source and How Calculated	Data Source and How Calculated
Utilization Rate: On the night of the 2021 PIT Count % of utilization	High utilization rate indicates a project is efficient and effective in ensuring open beds are filled quickly and timely	<u>Source and Calc:</u> Locate program on the Official Submission of the FL-501 2021 Housing Inventory Chart List for CoC Projects (attachment) and enter the utilization rate indicated for the program	90%+ = 5 pts 80%-89% = 4 pts 70%-79% = 3 pts 60%-69% = 2 pts 50%-59% = 1 pt < 50% = 0 pts
Housing First/Low Barrier: To What Extent is the project Housing First/Low Barrier?	HUD has expressly stated that programs need to follow a housing first/low barrier philosophy.	<u>Source and Calc:</u> Completed Housing First/Low Barrier Questionnaire – Verify the score on the Questionnaire is correct and enter the total score (max 24 points)	Maximum of 24 pts.

B. Coordinated Entry			
Performance Measurement	Rationale For Measurement	Data Source and How Calculated	Performance Point Scale
% of Entries with VI-SPDAT Scores	HUD has stated that CoC should be using an empirical process by which they rank people based on need. The VI-SPDAT is the tool our CoC has chosen for this task.	<u>Source:</u> FY 2021 CE Audit Report <u>Calc:</u> % as calculated on the form, which is auto-calculated (Divide number of entries with a VI-SPDAT score by total number of entries)	100% = 3 pts 90%-99% = 2 pts 80%-89% = 1 pt 79% or less = 0 pts
Average VI-SPDAT Score	HUD has stated that CoC should be using an empirical process by which they rank people based on need. The VI-SPDAT is the tool our CoC has chosen for this task.	<u>Source:</u> FY 2021 CE Audit Report <u>Calc:</u> Average as indicated on the report, which is auto-calculated by Adding all VI-SPDAT Values, divided by total number of project entries with the report's timeframe. <i>Please note: This score is weighted to account for the addition of the VI-FSPDAT and TAY-VI-SPDAT.</i>	<u>IF PSH Project</u> > 10.00 = 3 pts 9.00 - 9.99 = 2pts 8.00 - 8.99 = 1 pt < 7.99 = 0 pts <u>IF RRH Project</u> > 8.00 = 3 pts 6.00 - 7.99 = 2pts 04.00 - 5.99 = 1 pt < 3.99 = 0 pts

C. Racial Equity, Inclusion of Persons with Lived Experience, and <i>Improving Assistance to LGBTQ+ Individuals</i>			
Performance Measurement	Rationale For Measurement	Data Source and How Calculated	Performance Point Scale
Client Racial Equity Analysis: Data Quality	<p>HUD has stated an interest in addressing racial disparities within homeless response systems.</p> <p>Analysis of CoC Data has shown that Black people are disproportionately affected by homelessness.</p>	<p><u>Source:</u> CoC APR 6a:</p> <p><u>Calc:</u> Enter “% of Error Rate” from the “Race (3.4)” line in Table 6a.</p>	<p>0% = 2 pts 1%-14% = 1 pt 15% or more = 0 pts</p>
Client Racial Equity Analysis	<p>HUD has stated an interest in addressing racial disparities within homeless response systems.</p> <p>Analysis of CoC Data has shown that Black people are disproportionately affected by homelessness.</p>	<p><u>Source:</u> CoC APR 5a, 12a:</p> <p><u>Calc:</u> Divide “Total” of “Black or African American” from 12a by Line 1 of Table 5a.</p>	<p>If 0 points awarded for “Client Racial Equity Analysis: Data Quality” then 0.</p> <p>Else:</p> <p>>53% = 3 pts 50% - 52% = 2 pts 47% - 49% = 1 pt 46% or less = 0 pts</p>
Employee Racial Equity Analysis	<p>HUD has stated an interest in addressing racial disparities within homeless response systems.</p>	<p><u>Source:</u> Gender, Race, and Ethnicity Analysis</p> <p><u>Calc:</u> 1 point for every racial/ethnic category within every agency department agency department based on the population demographics calculated using the latest available U.S. Census data.</p>	<p>18 = 3 pts 12-17 = 2 pts 6-11 = 1 pts 0-5 = 0 pts</p>

C. Racial Equity, Inclusion of Persons with Lived Experience, and Improving Assistance to LGBTQ+ Individuals

Performance Measurement	Rationale For Measurement	Data Source and How Calculated	Performance Point Scale
<p>Inclusion of Persons with Lived Experience in the agency project decision making processes in</p>	<p>HUD continues to emphasize the need for persons with lived experience have a place at the table when decisions are being made.</p> <p>Describe how your organization incorporates the input and experience of persons with Lived Experience in your organization structure, decision making and quality improvement efforts</p>	<p><u>Source:</u> Agency Submitted Narrative</p> <p><u>Calc:</u> Using the Agency submitted narrative for this criterion, provide a score based on the parameters in the Performance Point Scale column</p>	<p>Detailed information of inclusion of persons with Lived Experience in the organization’s structure, decision making and quality improvement efforts = 2 pts.</p> <p>Adequate information of inclusion of persons with Lived Experience in the organization’s structure, decision making and quality improvement efforts = 1 pt.</p> <p>Minimum information or no inclusion of persons with Lived Experience in the organization’s structure, decision making and quality improvement efforts = 0 pts</p>
<p>Improving Assistance to LGBTQ+ Individuals.</p>	<p>Improving Assistance to LGBTQ+ Individuals is one of HUD’s priorities in the FY2022 CoC NOFO. HUD states CoCs and projects should address the needs of LGBTQ+, transgender, gender non-conforming, and non-binary individuals and families including privacy, respect, safety, and access regardless of gender identity or sexual orientation in projects.</p>	<p><u>Source:</u> Agency Submitted Narrative</p> <p><u>Calc:</u> Using the Agency submitted narrative for this criterion, provide a score based on the parameters in the Performance Point Scale column</p>	<p>Detailed information of how project addresses the needs of LGBTQ+ households including privacy, respect, safety, and access regardless of gender identity or sexual orientation in projects = 2 pts.</p> <p>Adequate information of how project addresses the needs of LGBTQ+ households including privacy, respect, safety, and access regardless of gender identity or sexual orientation in projects = 1 pt.</p>

			Minimum information or no information on how project addresses the needs of LGBTQ+ households including privacy, respect, safety, and access regardless of gender identity or sexual orientation in projects = 0 pts
D. Project Populations			
Performance Measurement	Performance Measurement	Performance Measurement	Performance Measurement
% of Chronically Homeless Persons Served	Ending Chronic homelessness is a federal and local goal	<u>Source:</u> CoC APR 5a: <u>Calc:</u> Divide Line 11 by Line 1 of Section 5a.	> 50% = 1 pts 26% - 49% = 0.5 pts < 25% = 0 pts
% of Veterans Served	Ending Veteran homelessness is a federal and local goal	<u>Source:</u> CoC APR 5a: <u>Calc:</u> Divide Line 10 by Line 2 of Section 5a.	> 50% = 1 pts 26% - 49% = 0.5 pts < 25% = 0 pts
% Youth Under the Age of 25 Served (aka UAY)	Effectively ending UAY homelessness is a federal and local goal	<u>Source:</u> CoC APR 5a: <u>Calc:</u> Divide Line 12 by Line 2 of Section 5a.	> 50% = 1 pts 26% - 49% = 0.5 pts < 25% = 0 pts
% Parenting Youth Under the Age of 25 with Children Served	Parenting youth is a sub-population of UAY as well as families with children	<u>Source:</u> CoC APR 5a: <u>Calc:</u> Divide Line 13 by Line 2 of Section 5a.	> 50% = 1 pts 26% - 49% = 0.5 pts < 25% = 0 pts
% Persons Fleeing Domestic Violence	Persons fleeing domestic violence are a population of concern in HUD and local goals	<u>Source:</u> CoC APR 14b, 5a: <u>Calc:</u> Divide Total "Yes" in section 14b by Line 1 of Section 5a.	> 50% = 1 pts 26% - 49% = 0.5 pts < 25% = 0 pts
Participants are "hard to serve" as defined by no income at entry.	Participants with no income at entry are considered harder to serve than those with income at program entry.	<u>Source:</u> CoC APR – 18: <u>Calc:</u> Take "Adults with no Income" from the "Number of Adults at Entry" Column and divide it by Line 2 of Section 5a.	50% + = 2.5 pts < 50% = 0 pts

<p>Participants are “hard to serve” as defined by 2 or more physical/mental health conditions at entry.</p>	<p>Participants with multiple physical/mental health conditions at entry are considered harder to serve than those with no or 1 condition at program entry.</p>	<p>Source: CoC APR - 13a2: Calc: In the “Total Persons” column the number in “2 conditions” and “3+ Conditions.” Divide by “Total Persons” as listed in Section 13a2.</p>	<p>50% + = 2.5 pts < 50% = 0 pts</p>
---	---	--	---

E. HMIS Data Quality

Performance Measurement	Rationale For Measurement	Data Source and How Calculated	Performance Point Scale
<p>Project’s Data Quality: Universal Data Elements: Veteran Status</p>	<p>HUD is utilizing HMIS data for community reporting (LSA, Sys. Performance Measures, CAPER, APR), a project’s Data Completeness, accuracy, and timeliness impacts community data.</p>	<p>Source: CoC-APR Report – 6b Calc: Enter “% of Error Rate” for “Veteran Status (3.7)” from 6b.</p>	<p>0% = 2 pts 1% - 3% = 1 pts 4% - 10% = 0.5 pts 11% or > = 0 pts</p>
<p>Project’s Data Quality: Universal Data Elements: Project Entry Date</p>	<p>Same as above.</p>	<p>Source: CoC-APR Report – 6b Calc: Enter “% of Error Rate” for “Project Entry Date (3.10)” from 6b.</p>	<p>0% = 2 pts 1% - 3% = 1 pts 4% - 10% = 0.5 pts 11% or > = 0 pts</p>
<p>Project’s Data Quality: Universal Data Elements: Relationship to Head of Household</p>	<p>Same as above.</p>	<p>Source: CoC-APR Report – 6b Calc: Enter “% of Error Rate” for “Relationship to Head of Household (3.15)” from 6b.</p>	<p>0% = 2 pts 1% - 3% = 1 pts 4% - 10% = 0.5 pts 11% or > = 0 pts</p>
<p>Project’s Data Quality: Universal Data Elements: Disabling Condition</p>	<p>Same as above.</p>	<p>Source: CoC-APR Report – 6b Calc: Enter “% of Error Rate” for “Disabling Condition (3.8)” from 6b.</p>	<p>0% = 2 pts 1% - 3% = 1 pts 4% - 10% = 0.5 pts 11% or > = 0 pts</p>
<p>Project’s Data Quality: Destination</p>	<p>Same as above.</p>	<p>Source: CoC-APR Report – 6c Calc: Enter “% of Error rate” for “Destination (3.12)” from 6c.</p>	<p>0% = 2 pts 1% - 3% = 1 pts 4% - 10% = 0.5 pts 11% or > = 0 pts</p>

Project's Data Quality: Income at Entry	Same as above.	<u>Source:</u> CoC-APR Report – 6c <u>Calc:</u> Enter the % from the “Percent of Error Rate” column for the “Income at Entry” line.	0% = 2 pts 1% - 3% = 1 pts 4% - 10% = 0.5 pts 11% or > = 0 pts
E. HMIS Data Quality - Continued			
Performance Measurement	Rationale For Measurement	Data Source and How Calculated	Performance Point Scale
Project's Data Quality: Income at Annual Assessment	Same as above.	<u>Source:</u> CoC-APR Report - 6c <u>Calc:</u> Enter "% of Error rate" for "Income and Sources (4.2) at Annual Assessment" from 6c.	0% = 2 pts 1% - 3% = 1 pts 4% - 10% = 0.5 pts 11% or > = 0 pts
Project's Data Quality: Income at Exit	Same as above.	<u>Source:</u> CoC-APR Report - 6c <u>Calc:</u> Enter "% of Error rate" for "Income and Sources (4.2) at Entry" from 6c.	0% = 2 pts 1% - 3% = 1 pts 4% - 10% = 0.5 pts 11% or > = 0 pts
Project's Data Quality: Chronic Homelessness	Same as above.	<u>Source:</u> CoC-APR Report - 6d <u>Calc:</u> Enter "% of records unable to calculate" from the "Total" Row from 6d.	0% = 2 pts 1% - 3% = 1 pts 4% - 10% = 0.5 pts 11% or > = 0 pts
Project's Data Quality: Timeliness	CoC standards state data must be entered within 24 hours of being collected.	<u>Source:</u> CoC-APR Report – 6e <u>Calc:</u> Enter all table values for Table 6e directly into Excel Scorecard. Scorecard will auto- calculate by adding all entries in the “0 days” row and dividing it by the total number of entries.	> 90% = 3 pts 70%-89% = 2 pts 50%-69% = 0.5 pt < 49% = 0 pts

F. Overall Grant Management			
Performance Measurement	Rationale For Measurement	Data Source and How Calculated	Performance Point Scale
In the project's most recently ended grant year, what percentage of awarded funds were expended and drawn down from HUD?	Project's not full using awarded funds leave resources unused; are not effectively using resources	<u>Source:</u> Project eLOCCS Printout – Budget Tab <u>Calc:</u> Divide the amount of funding expended by the total award amount	90% + =5 pts 85-89%=3 pts 80-84%=3 pts 75-79%=2 pts 70-74%=1 pt < 70%=0 pts
F. Overall Grant Management - Continued			

Performance Measurement	Rationale For Measurement	Data Source and How Calculated	Performance Point Scale
<p>Percent of CoC project funding expended by the agency's during their most recently ended grant year that was used for housing vs. supportive services.</p>	<p>HUD looks to maximize HUD funds for housing and use of match from other sources to provide supportive services to maximize clients served</p>	<p><u>Source:</u> Project eLOCCS Printout – Budget Tab</p> <p><u>Calc:</u> <i>For RRH, TBRA PSH projects</i> - divide the amount of rental assistance or leasing disbursed by the total amount disbursed</p> <p><i>For Project-based PSH</i> – divide the amount of operating disbursed by the total amount disbursed</p>	<p>80-100% = 5 pts 70-79% = 3 pts 60-69% = 1 pt below 60%=0 pts</p>
<p>Did the project draw down funds from eLOCC at least quarterly during the most recently ended grant term?</p>	<p>Timeliness of drawdowns is specifically stated in HUD FY 2021 CoC Program Com NOFA; defined as at least quarterly.</p>	<p><u>Source:</u> Project eLOCCS Printout – Voucher Tab listing each time the project drew down funds.</p> <p><u>Calc:</u> Based on the project's grant term, was a draw down completed at least once every quarter</p>	<p>Yes = 1 pt No = 0 pts</p>
<p>Was the project' APR submitted on time to HUD via SAGE for the project's most recently ended grant term</p>	<p>Timely submission of the APR is a requirement of HUD and on time submissions demonstrate overall quality grant management.</p>	<p><u>Source:</u> SAGE – THH provide report from SAGE data showing projects that submitted their last APR on time, late or very late.</p> <p><u>Calc:</u> Based on the SAGE data, was the APR submitted on time.</p>	<p>Yes = 1 pt No = 0 pts</p>
<p>Did the project have the match required per CoC regulations (at least 25% or 0.25:1)?</p>	<p>Provision of Project Match is required by HUD and lack of required match is a compliance issue.</p>	<p><u>Source:</u> Match Documentation Letter for most recently ended grant term</p> <p><u>Calc:</u> Percent of match documented to expended funds</p> <p><i>NOTE: For leasing projects, required match 25% of the total amount of expended minus leasing funds expended</i></p>	<p>> 25% = 2 pts 25% = 1 pt < 25% = 0 pts</p>

G. CoC Participation			
Performance Measurement	Rationale For Measurement	Data Source and How Calculated	Performance Point Scale
Applicant agency has a leadership role in the CoC as evidenced by at least 1 of the following: - Agency's paid staff serving as chair or Co-Chair of a CoC Committee; or on THHI's Board of Directors	HUD expects that all CoC-funded projects actively participate within the CoC	<u>Source:</u> CoC Agency Attendance at Monthly CoC Meetings and Committee Meetings (August 2020 – July 2021) <u>Calc:</u> Enter Yes if applicant is listed as having staff that chairs, co-chairs a CoC Committee / serves on THHI Board	Applicant Staff Member Chairs or Co-Chairs a Committee = 1 pt Applicant Staff member does NOT Chair or Co-Chair a committee = 0 pts